

Recollection about last week

Def: $\forall S$ profinite set $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{ab}} := \lim_{\substack{S \rightarrow S' \\ S' \text{ finite set}}} \mathbb{Z}[S']$.

Remark: The limit is abelian

$$\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{ab}} = \underline{\text{RHom}}(\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$$

Def: $M \in \text{CondAb}$ is solid

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{ab}}, M) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], M)$$

$X \in \mathcal{D}(\text{CondAb})$ is (derived) solid

$$\text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{ab}}, X) \simeq \text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], X)$$

$$\textcircled{1} S \text{ profinite } \mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{ab}} \simeq \prod_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{ab}} \text{ is (derived) solid.}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{Solid} \subseteq \text{CondAb}, \quad \underline{\mathcal{D}(\text{Solid})} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{CondAb}) \text{ are reflective subcategories}$$

Def: \mathcal{C} stable ∞ -cat, eq. w/ t-structure. $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is pseudocoherent (almost perfect)

if $\text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -) : \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ commutes w/ filtered colimits

Thm: $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(A)$ A Grothendieck ab. cat w/ a family of $\overset{\text{compact}}{\vee}$ proj gen. $\{P_i\}$

$\Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{C}$ is pseudocoherent iff it can be represented by a bounded below complex whose terms are finite sums of P_i 's

Remark X pseudocoherent, $\{A_i\} \in A$ family

$$\text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \bigoplus_{\mathbb{I}} A_i) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbb{I}} \text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A_i)$$

Lemma: X compact Hausdorff space, $Z[X] \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Cond Ab})$ is pseudocoherent

Proof: $S \rightarrow X$ S extremely disconnected

$\Rightarrow Z[X]$ has a resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow Z[S \times S] \xrightarrow{\text{ext. discon.}} Z[S] \xrightarrow{\text{compact proj.}} Z[X] \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

Lemma: A compact ab. group $\Rightarrow A$ pseudocoherent

\mathbb{R} pseudocoherent

Proof: Two times exact seq we're given \exists a resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_n Z[A^{n_i}] \rightarrow Z[A] \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

By the previous lemma all terms are pseudocoherent $\Rightarrow A$ pseudocoherent.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

\mathbb{Z} pseudocoherent $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is too.

Thm: Let $C \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Cond Ab})$ represented by a complex of the form

$$\dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{I}_1} \prod_{k \in K_{1,j}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{I}_0} \prod_{k \in K_{0,j}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

\swarrow degree 0 \searrow 0 form

Then $\forall S$ profinite set

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}(Z[S]^\infty, C) \xrightarrow{\text{intert. hom.}} \underline{\text{RHom}}(Z[S], C)$$

is an equivalence

We'll call such complexes "good".

Prop: Let C be a good complex. Then the objects

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}\left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, C\right), \quad \underline{\text{RHom}}(Z[S], C)$$

are (-1) -connected

Prop: Let C be a good complex. Then the objects

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}\left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, C\right), \quad \underline{\text{RHom}}\left(\mathbb{Z}[S], C\right)$$

are (-1) -connective

Assuming the proposition, let us prove the theorem.

Step 1: $\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, C) = 0$

Just assume $C = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{k_j} \mathbb{Z}$ in degree 0.

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, C) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{k_j} \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

For any C we can take filtration "level"

$$\begin{array}{c} W_{\leq n} C \rightarrow C \rightarrow W_{\geq n+1} C \\ \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ \dots \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_0 \end{array}$$

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, W_{\leq n} C) \rightarrow \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, C) \rightarrow \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, W_{\geq n+1} C)$$

0 by induction on n

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, W_{\geq n+1} C[-n-1])[n+1]$$

\Rightarrow it is n -connective

n -connective (prop.)

$\Rightarrow \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, C)$ is n -connective, but n arbitrary

$\Rightarrow \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, C) = 0$.

Step 2: $\underline{\text{RHom}}\left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}, C\right) = 0$

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}, \underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{R}, C)\right) = 0 \quad \square$$

Step 3: $\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C)$ is (-1) -connective

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}\left(\prod_I \mathbb{Z}, C\right)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{AB}_4^*)$$

Step 3: $\underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus, C)$ is (-1) -connected

$$\underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{Z}, C)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (AB_4^*)$$

$$\underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, C) \rightarrow \underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{R}, C) \rightarrow \underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{Z}, C)$$

\downarrow (-1) -connected.
 \downarrow 0
 \downarrow connected?

Step 4: Profit!

$$\underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus, C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C)$$

Let's do it first in degree 0, $C = \bigoplus_J \prod_{K_i} \mathbb{Z}$

The RHS, since $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ is proalgebraic, is just $\bigoplus_J \prod_{K_i} \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus \simeq \prod_I \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{comp. sh. grp} \Rightarrow \text{proalgebraic.}$$

$$\prod_I \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \quad \underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{Z}, \bigoplus_J \prod_{K_i} \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigoplus_J \prod_{K_i} \underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$$

because the other terms of the SES obs.

$$\Rightarrow \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z}) \quad (\text{essentially prop. 2.3. in Muro's talk})$$

\Rightarrow By induction the thesis is true for $w_{\leq n} C \quad \forall n$.

$$w_{\leq n} C \rightarrow C \rightarrow w_{\geq n+1} C$$

$$\underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus, w_{\leq n} C) \rightarrow \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus, C) \rightarrow \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^\oplus, w_{\geq n+1} C)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow ? & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], w_{\leq n} C) & \rightarrow & \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C) \rightarrow \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], w_{\geq n+1} C) \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow the fiber of the central map is n -con. $\forall n \Rightarrow$ it's 0.

the fiber is $(n-1)$ -connected

Step 3
/ n -con

proposition

Prop: Let C be a good complex. Then the objects

$$\underline{RHom} \left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, C \right), \quad \underline{RHom} \left(\mathbb{Z}[S], C \right)$$

are (-1) -connected

Proof: We fit C in a SES of complexes

$$C \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$C_n = \bigoplus_{j \in S_n} \prod_{k \in K_{n,j}} \mathbb{Z}, \quad (C_{\mathbb{R}})_n = \bigoplus_{j \in S_n} \prod_{k \in K_{n,j}} \mathbb{R}, \quad C_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} = \bigoplus_{j \in S_n} \prod_{k \in K_{n,j}} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

$\exists C_{\mathbb{R}}$ you have to show $\underline{RHom}(\prod \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \underline{RHom}(\prod \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_n & \rightarrow & C_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{\mathbb{R},n} & \dashrightarrow & C_{\mathbb{R},n-1} \end{array}$$

The filter of that map $\underline{RHom}(\prod \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Prove: $\underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, C_{\mathbb{R}}), \underline{RHom}(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, C_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}})$

$\underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C_{\mathbb{R}}), \underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}})$

are connected

Step 1: C 0-dimensional $C_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$\underline{RHom} \left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \bigoplus_S \prod_{k_j} \mathbb{R} \right) \simeq \bigoplus_S \prod_{k_j} \underline{RHom} \left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R} \right) = 0$$

$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}$

$\underline{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{R})$ conc. in degree 0.

Step 2: C bounded \checkmark

\$\Rightarrow\$ The thesis is true for \$W_{\leq n} C \quad \forall n\$.

$$W_{\leq n-1} C \xrightarrow{(R)} \tau_{\leq n} C \xrightarrow{(R)} \text{coker } d_{n+1} [n]$$

the com. est.

combine if \$n \gg 0\$

one time here
 $\text{RHom}(-, W_{\leq n-1} C) \rightarrow$

$$\rightarrow \text{RHom}(-, \text{coker } d_{n+1}) [n]$$

\$\Rightarrow\$ It's enough to prove a com. est. for \$\text{coker } d_n \quad \forall n\$

$$\text{RHom} \left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \text{coker } d_n^{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \right), \text{RHom} \left(\mathbb{Z}[S], \text{coker } d_n^{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \right)$$

are -2 connected

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker } d_{n+1} \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots$$

\$\circlearrowleft\$

$$\text{coker } d_n = \text{coker} \left(\bigoplus_S \prod_k \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{S'} \prod_{k'} \mathbb{Z} \right)$$

by pseudobornology we can assume \$S, S'\$ finite \$\Rightarrow\$ we can assume

$$d_n: \prod_k \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{k'} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{coker } d_n^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}: \prod_k \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{k'} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{is a compact abelian group}$$

\$\Rightarrow\$ for hom dim \$\le 1\$ \$\text{RHom} \left(\prod_I \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \text{coker } d_n^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \right)\$ is in degrees \$0, -1\$.

$$d_n^{\mathbb{R}}: \prod_k \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \prod_{k'} \mathbb{R}$$

(double dual of \$\oplus \mathbb{Z}\$ is \$\oplus \mathbb{Z}\$)

$$\prod_k \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{k'} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{is the dual of } \text{map } \bigoplus_{k'} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_k \mathbb{Z}$$

$$d_n^{\mathbb{R}}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigoplus_k \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigoplus_{k'} \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right)$$

$$J_n^{\mathbb{R}} : \prod_K \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \prod_{K'} \mathbb{R}$$

(double dual of $\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$ is $\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\prod_K \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{K'} \mathbb{Z} \text{ is the dual of map } \bigoplus_{K'} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_K \mathbb{Z}$$

$$J_n^{\mathbb{R}} : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigoplus_K \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigoplus_{K'} \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right) \quad \underline{\text{RHom}}(-, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\bigoplus_K \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{K'} \mathbb{R} \text{ map of } \mathbb{R}\text{-v. spaces} \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(-, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{colim } J_n^{\mathbb{R}} = \prod_K \mathbb{R} \quad \text{(splitting followed by split map)} \quad \text{"rel condensed abelian groups"}$$

the com. ext. are computations are already done. $\underline{\text{RHom}}(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \mathcal{C}(S, \mathbb{R})$

$$\underline{\text{RHom}}(n, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}) = \lim_n \underline{\text{RHom}}(\sim, \tau_{\leq n} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}})$$

\uparrow
(-) - complete

$$d : \bigoplus_I \prod \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus \prod \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{colim} \quad \bigoplus_{I_0} \prod \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I'_0} \prod \mathbb{Z}$$

$\# I_0 < \infty$
 $\# I'_0 < \infty$

Thm: (i) $\text{Sol} \subseteq \text{Cond Ab}$ is closed under limits, colimits, extensions

Moreover \exists a left adj $(-)^{\text{sol}} : \text{Cond Ab} \rightarrow \text{Sol}$ sending $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\text{sol}}$

(ii) The compact projective objects of Sol are precisely $\prod_I \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) The functor $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sol}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Cond Ab})$ is fully faithful, the image is closed under limits, colimits & extensions, is spanned by those complexes w/ solal homology, has a left adj which is the obs. functor of $(-)^{\text{sol}}$.

Thm: (i) $\text{Sohol} \subseteq \text{CondAb}$ is closed under limits, colimits, extensions

Moreover \exists left adj $(-)^{\otimes} : \text{CondAb} \rightarrow \text{Sohol}$ sending $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes}$

(ii) The compact projective objects of Sohol are precisely $\prod_I \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) The functor $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{CondAb})$ is fully faithful, the image is closed under limits, colimits & extensions, is spanned by those complexes w/ sohol homology, has a left adj which is the obs. functor of $(-)^{\otimes}$.

(iv) $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})$ is "compactly generated" $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol}) = \text{Ind } \mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})^{\omega}$

(v) $(\mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})^{\omega})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Z})$ is an eq.

$$M \longmapsto \text{RHom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

(vi) $\text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes}, C) \cong \text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[S], C) \quad \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})$

(vii) $\mathbb{R}^{L^{\otimes}} = 0$

Proof: (i), (iii) follow formally

(ii) $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes}$ are compact project. generators \Rightarrow every comp. proj. object is a retract of one of $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} \cong \prod_I \mathbb{Z}$ but we can use the double dual is as before

$$\text{RHom}\left(\prod_I \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) = \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}$$

\Rightarrow it's enough to prove that a summand of a free \mathbb{Z} -module is free (comm. alg. exercise).

(iv) size issues, tread carefully.

(v) $\text{RHom} : \mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})^{\omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{op}}$

$\mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})^{\omega}$ is gen. by $\prod_I \mathbb{Z}$ under finite limits

$\text{RHom} : \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Sohol})^{\omega}$

$$\bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z} \longmapsto \prod_I \mathbb{Z}$$

The comp. is eq. to the identity on compact project. generators.

(vi) moduli of twisting

$$(vii) \mathbb{R}^{L^0} = 0$$

$R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for some objects of $\mathcal{D}(\text{Scheib})$

& com. ext. conclude. \square

Remark: From pt (vi) $(M \otimes^L N)^{\otimes} \rightarrow (M^{\otimes} \otimes^L N)^{\otimes}$ is an eq.

$$R\text{Hom}(N, R\text{Hom}(M, P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\text{Hom}(N, R\text{Hom}(M^{\otimes}, P))$$

$$R\text{Hom}(M^{\otimes}, P) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(M, P)$$

but we can prove it for $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ gen. of $\mathcal{D}(\text{CondAb})$ and that was (vi).

$\Rightarrow \text{CondAb} \rightarrow \text{Scheib}$
 $\mathcal{D}(\text{CondAb}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Scheib})$ are comp. wrt the sym. monoidal structure.

$$\text{Prop: } \prod_I \mathbb{Z} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \prod_J \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{I \times J} \mathbb{Z}$$

Proof: Up to reindexation it's enough to prove $\mathbb{Z}[S]^{\otimes} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\otimes} = \mathbb{Z}[S \times T]^{\otimes}$
& that is exactly the sym. monoid. of $(-)^{\otimes}$. \square

$$\text{Cor: } \mathbb{Z}[U] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T] = \mathbb{Z}[U, T] \quad (p \neq 2)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}_q = 0$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} R = 0$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[U] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[U]$$

Proof: The first is the lemma $\mathbb{Z}[U] = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathbb{Z}[U] = \lim_n \mathbb{Z}[U]_{/U^n} = \lim_n \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z} = \lim_n \prod_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z}$$

The others follow from $\mathbb{R}^{\otimes} = 0$, & the SES.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[U] \xrightarrow{U-p} \mathbb{Z}[U] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

Prop: X cur complex $\mathbb{Z}[X]^{L^{\oplus}} \simeq C_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$

Proof: Suppose X finite, $\mathbb{Z}[X]^{L^{\oplus}}$ pseudofree in $\mathcal{D}(\text{Sph})$

$\text{RHom}(-, \mathbb{Z})$ is fully faith on pseudofree

$C_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ pseudofree.

$$\text{RHom}(\mathbb{Z}[X]^{L^{\oplus}}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C_{\text{canal}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\text{RHom}(C_*(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \square$$